

Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/594,313	KIMURA ET AL.
	Examiner MICHAEL LEE	Art Unit 3677

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) MICHAEL LEE. (3) _____.

(2) MELANIO QUINTOS. (4) _____.

Date of Interview: 06 July 2009.

Type: a) Telephonic b) Video Conference
c) Personal [copy given to: 1) applicant 2) applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes e) No.
If Yes, brief description: _____.

Claim(s) discussed: 1-9.

Identification of prior art discussed: _____.

Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached. g) was not reached. h) N/A.

Substance of interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: The presence of two sets of amended claims with the same file date present in the file were discussed between Michael Lee and Melanio Quintos. Examiner Michael Lee faxed a copy of the version of claims with deleted claims. 7/7/2009 Judy Zol called Michael Lee electing the set of claims that did not have any claims cancelled.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.